## Fall 2002 School Accountability Results - Webster Parish School-Level Table

## **Explanatory Notes**

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth <sup>c</sup> (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress <sup>c</sup> Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)	
Elementary/Middle Schools										
Brown Middle School	PK,5-6	82	75.7	(6.3)	5	(126.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Browning Elementary School	PK,K-2	74.7	84.7	10.0	5.8	172.4	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Central Elementary School	PK,K-6	82.5	86.5	4.0	5	80.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
E. S. Richardson Elementary School	PK,K-5	102.1	99.3	(2.8)	5	(56.0)	No	No Label Was Assigned	School of Academic Achievement	
Howell Elementary School	PK,3-4	74.7	84.7	10.0	5.8	172.4	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
J. A. Phillips Middle School	PK,6	66.4	73.7	7.3	7.9	92.4	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
J. E. Harper Elementary School	PK,K-5	103.7	106.2	2.5	5	50.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	School of Academic Achievement	
J. L. Jones Elementary School	PK,K-5	62.8	64.9	2.1	8.8	23.9	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Lakeside Junior High School	PK,7-8	88	79.4	(8.6)	5	(172.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Springhill Junior High School	PK,7-8	86.4	81.6	(4.8)	5	(96.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Stewart Elementary School	PK,K-5	57.5	61.3	3.8	9.7	39.2	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Union Elementary School	PK,K-5	74.4	71.6	(2.8)	6	(46.7)	CA-I	School in Decline	Academically Below the State Average	
Webster Junior High School	PK,7-8	63.9	67.2	3.3	8.5	38.8	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Combination Schools										
Cotton Valley High School	PK,K-12	73	79.5	6.5	5.1	127.5	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Doyline High School	PK,6-12	89	88.2	(0.8)	5	(16.0)	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Sarepta High School	PK,K-12	100.4	103.6	3.2	5	64.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	School of Academic Achievement	
Shongaloo High School	PK,K-12	87.3	89.4	2.1	5	42.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
High Schools										
Lakeside High School	8-12	63.2	85.9	22.7	5.3	428.3	No		Academically Below the State Average	
Minden High School	PK,8-12	64.7	68.6	3.9	5	78.0	No		Academically Below the State Average	

Louisiana Department of Education Office of Management and Finance Division of Planning, Analysis and Information Resources November 2002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> - Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.

b - Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> - Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

## Fall 2002 School Accountability Results - Webster Parish School-Level Table

## **Explanatory Notes**

- <sup>a</sup> Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.
- b Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.
- <sup>c</sup> Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth <sup>c</sup> (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress <sup>c</sup> Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)
Springhill High School	PK,8-12	71.2	78.3	7.1	5	142.0	No		Academically Below the State Average